



## DISCUSSION

Plaintiff timely filed an “Amended Complaint” (Document No. 13) pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 15(a)(1)(B) on March 29, 2022. The Amended Complaint supersedes the original Complaint. Therefore, the undersigned will direct that Defendant’s “Motion To Dismiss” (Document No. 6) be denied as moot.

It is well settled that a timely-filed amended pleading supersedes the original pleading, and that motions directed at superseded pleadings may be denied as moot. Young v. City of Mount Ranier, 238 F.3d 567, 573 (4th Cir. 2001) (“The general rule ... is that an amended pleading supersedes the original pleading, rendering the original pleading of no effect.”); see also, Fawzy v. Wauquiez Boats SNC, 873 F.3d 451, 455 (4th Cir. 2017) (“Because a properly filed amended complaint supersedes the original one and becomes the operative complaint in the case, it renders the original complaint ‘of no effect.’”).

To the extent Defendant contends the Amended Complaint is deficient, this Order is without prejudice to Defendant filing a renewed motion to dismiss the Amended Complaint, as appropriate.

**IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED** that Defendant’s “Motion To Dismiss” (Document No. 6) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

**SO ORDERED.**

Signed: March 29, 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
David C. Keesler  
United States Magistrate Judge

